



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.urpto.gov

			•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/925,269	08/09/2001	Thomas D. Petite	081607-1210	5550	
24504 7	7590 , 09/25/2003				
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			EXAMINER		
100 GALLERI STE 1750	A PARKWAY, NW		BARAN, I	BARAN, MARY C	
ATLANTA, G	A 30339-5948	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2857	. -	

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/925,269	PETITE, THOMAS	D.				
Advisory Addon	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Mary Kate B Baran	2857					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh et with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment white	cation. A proper relich places the appli	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. In the set of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.				
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:		Man Hoff MARC S. HOFF					
	SU	IPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER :					

ຼອັontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/925,269



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that Canada does not teach wireless transceivers which are further configured to receive the original data message transmitted by one of the other wireless transceivers and transmit a repeated data message. However this is not the case. Canada teaches wireless transceivers (see Canada, column 4 lines 31-36) which are further configured to receive the original data message (see Canada, column 4 lines 50-53) transmitted by one of the other wireless transceivers (see Canada, column 4 lines 54-57) and transmit a repeated data message (see Canada, column 4 lines 61-67).